



Purchasing Services Office

801 Leroy Place
Socorro, NM 87801
(575) 835-5886

Letter of Addendum

TO: All Offerors

FROM: Lisa Majkowski, Interim Director of Purchasing

DATE: 5/21/2025

RE: RFB Number: RFP#2504018C- Amendment No. 5
Commodity: Hydrogeological Services IDIQ

Please Note: This amendment is issued to amend the RFP as detailed below

Q1) In Section 1, paragraph 1.5, page 3, the RFP states that "*The completed offer must be in a sealed envelope or box marked with 'Request for Proposal' and corresponding RFP number.*" Additionally, in Section 4, paragraph 4.2, page 6, the RFP states that "*The price portion of the offer shall remain sealed until the evaluation committee has completed its evaluation of the technical portions for all Proposers and has prepared technical scores for each.*" Based on these two requirements, we understand that the proposals should be submitted in a sealed and labeled envelope, but should the Proposer seal the price portion separately and within the sealed proposal?

A1) Yes, please seal the cost component of the proposal in a separate envelope within the sealed proposal.

Q2) In Section 4, paragraph 4.1.1, page 5, the Specialized Experience and Past Performance category of the RFP states, "*Furnish three (3) examples of previous projects similar to the requirements stated in NM Tech's SOW category....The previous work experience for the firm will be evaluated for their similarity to the requirements of NM Tech as stated in the SOW. In Addition, customer satisfaction will be evaluated.*" In addition to the three (3) examples of previous projects with references, can the Proposer include additional examples of projects without references for consideration under the Specialized Experience and Past Performance criterion?

A2) Yes, please see Addendum 4 - A5.

- Q3) In Section 4, paragraph 4.1.1, page 5, the Cost category of the RFP states, "*Provide hourly rates for proposed staff, and unit rates for equipment, materials, supplies, and subcontractors where proposed.*" Can NMIMT provide additional guidance regarding the desired manner or relative units in which the Proposer should provide subcontractor costs? For example, the cost to drill one (1) 1,000-foot-deep well is not half the cost of drilling two (2) 1,000-foot-deep wells at the same location because the same mobilization cost would be applied to either scenario.
- A3) It is not expected that bidders will be able to provide a price per well because the well location, depths, construction details etc. have not yet been identified. To the best of your ability, provide unit rates for drilling contractors. Unit rates that would be beneficial include, but are not limited to, hourly costs for operation of different types of drilling technologies, hourly rates for personnel including drillers, drillers helpers, and site safety personnel, hourly costs for the operation of support equipment or services (i.e. downhole well logging). It is understood that not all costs for materials, equipment, supplies etc. will be able to be captured by unit rates. Reminder: this is drilling for exploration or depth specific monitoring well set up.
- Q4) In Section 4, paragraph 4.1.1, page 5, the Cost category of the RFP states, "*Provide hourly rates for proposed staff, and unit rates for equipment, materials, supplies, and subcontractors where proposed.*" If an award is made to a Proposer, will staff and/or subcontractors that were not identified in the Proposal be allowed to work on a given task order?
- A4) Yes, it is anticipated that provided unit costs will not be an exhaustive list, and that additional staff, subcontractors may be required to complete the work.

ALL OFFERORS ARE REQUIRED TO CONFIRM THE RECEIPT OF THIS AMENDMENT IN THEIR RESPONSE.
ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE RFP REMAIN UNCHANGED.