



Purchasing Services Office

801 Leroy Place
Socorro, NM 87801
(575) 835-5886

Letter of Addendum

TO: All Offerors

FROM: Meradeth Montoya, Associate Director of Purchasing

DATE: 3/17/2026

RE: RFB Number: RFP 26030020- Amendment No. 3
Commodity: Subsurface Data Digitization and Interpretation
Services IDIQ

- Q1. Can proposals be delivered via commercial courier, and what are the receiving office hours on the proposal submission date?
A1. Yes, proposals may be delivered via commercial courier. Deliveries must be received during normal business hours. (Monday-Friday 8-5 p.m. MST, lunch 12:00-1:00)
Vendors are responsible for ensuring proposals are delivered prior to the submission deadline.
- Q2. Does NMIMT intend to make a single award or multiple awards under this IDIQ contract?
A2. Multiple awards are preferable.
- Q3. Will task orders typically be issued as larger batch digitization projects or as smaller incremental assignments?
A3. It will be both. Several larger batch projects are expected at the beginning, followed by smaller assignments issued as needed.
- Q4. Does the Bureau have defined quality control standards or acceptance criteria for digitized log curves and associated header data?
A4. Bureau staff will perform visual comparisons with the original datasets.
- Q5. Is there a preferred coordinate reference system or geospatial standard the Bureau uses for well location metadata when CRS information is available?
A5. EPSG: 26913.

- Q6. Will source materials for digitization primarily be provided as scanned raster images, existing digital files, or physical hardcopy log records requiring scanning?
- A6. Materials may be provided in any of these formats. However, it is expected that over 90 percent of the materials will be provided as scanned raster images. A smaller portion of physical hardcopy log records may require scanning before curve digitization.
- Q7. In the Cost Proposal Form, can NMIMT clarify the intended unit definitions for “per well,” “per log,” and “per curve”? Are these intended to represent separate pricing scenarios or different components of the same workflow?
- A7. These represent separate pricing schemes based on the vendor’s preference. Some vendors may list services by well, while others may price by curve. The form accommodates both scenarios.
- Q8. For per log or per well digitization, is the expectation that every single curve is digitized from top to bottom, or only a standard set of geophysical and petrophysical curves?
- A8. In the per well scenario, every curve should be digitized. Duplicate runs within a scanned image do not need to be digitized.
- Q9. Beyond LAS v2.0 formatting requirements, does the Bureau have preferred metadata schemas, naming conventions, or header standards contractors should follow?
- A9. Conventional naming schemes listed on the scanned well images should be used, including where available: well name, API number or unique well identifier, operator, and date of logging.
- Q10. If physical well log records are provided for scanning, should the original hardcopy materials be returned to NMIMT after digitization, or destroyed according to Bureau guidance?
- A10. Physical records must be returned unless otherwise specified by the Bureau in writing or by email.
- Q11. Can NMIMT clarify whether raster scanning of hardcopy geophysical logs is expected to occur on site at the Bureau’s facilities, or if contractors may perform scanning at their own facilities?
- A11. Scanning requests will be for offsite scanning.
- Q12. Have you compared the targeted logs to existing TGS LAS and raster datasets, as they may already be digitized?
- A12. Yes. Some vendors attach specific limitations on use that are not accepted by the Bureau. In those cases, a discount should be applied for use as described in the RFP.
- Q13. Will the final deliverables be made available publicly?
- A13. Yes. They will likely be used as supporting documentation and graphics for projects.
- Q14. Please provide the definition for an industry accepted open source depth calibration format that is preferred.
- A14. Curves must be appropriately scaled to their respective sources, including depth range, measurement range, and units, and delivered in LAS v2 format.

- Q15. Can you provide examples of documentation and technical memoranda deliverables?
- A15. No. Deliverables are expected to be provided in LAS v2 format with an accompanying Excel or CSV table containing well information from the digitized well log header. This may include well name, API or UWI, log type and units, operator, surface hole location information if available, total depth, and logging company (e.g., SLB, HAL, JetWest).

ALL OFFERORS ARE REQUIRED TO CONFIRM THE RECEIPT OF THIS AMENDMENT IN THEIR RESPONSE.
ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE RFP REMAIN UNCHANGED.