



Purchasing Services Office  
801 Leroy Place  
Socorro, NM 87801  
(575) 835-5886

***Letter of Addendum***

TO: All Offerors

FROM: Lisa Majkowski, Director of Purchasing Services

DATE: 05/29/2026

RE: RFB Number: RFP 26050033 - Amendment No. 2  
Commodity: Methane Emission Platform

Q1. In section 15.1 of the RFP, page 12, second paragraph, please provide more detailed information on how the data will be provided for methane emissions to allow us to provide tracking, visualization, and reporting on the application. And where will this data come from.

A1. Methane emissions data will primarily originate from:

- Field measurements conducted by qualified methane measurement specialists using approved measurement technologies
- Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) programs
- Operator-provided reports and supporting documentation

The platform should support storage, tracking, visualization, and reporting of both pre-plugging and post-plugging methane emissions measurements. Typical use cases include comparing methane emissions before and after plugging activities, tracking reductions over time, generating project-level summaries, and supporting Monitoring, Reporting, and Verification (MRV) requirements.

Q2. Will NM Tech provide sample datasets and the expected database fields/schema, or does the development team need to design the data structure from scratch?

A2. NMT will provide representative sample datasets during the requirements-gathering phase. The minimum required data elements are listed in Section 15.3.3 of the RFP. Vendors are expected to propose a scalable database architecture and logical schema that accommodates the required fields while allowing future expansion and integration with additional data sources.

Q3. What exact data import/export formats are required, such as CSV, Excel, JSON, API-based import/export, or GIS/map-related files?

A3. At a minimum, the platform should support:

- CSV import/export
- Microsoft Excel import/export
- JSON import/export

Support for GIS-compatible formats (e.g., GeoJSON, shapefiles, or equivalent mapping formats) is desirable but not mandatory for the initial deployment. Vendors are encouraged to recommend additional formats that support future interoperability and scalability.

Q4. How many user roles are required at launch, and what actions should each role be allowed to perform, such as view, create, edit, approve, export, or administer data?

A4. At launch, NM Tech anticipates four primary user roles:

1. Administrator
2. Program staffs/Researchers
3. Operators
4. Regulator/Stakeholder

Q5. How many dashboards or visualization views are expected in the first version, and do they require maps, filters, charts, date ranges, well-level drilldowns, or before/after comparisons?

A5. The initial release should include multiple dashboard views sufficient to support project management, reporting, and public communication. At a minimum, the platform should support:

- Interactive maps displaying well locations and measurement activities
- Filtering by date range, operator, basin, county, and well status
- Methane emissions trends over time
- Before-and-after plugging comparisons
- Project-level methane reduction summaries
- Exportable charts and reports

Vendors are encouraged to propose additional visualization capabilities that improve user experience and reporting effectiveness.

Q6. Should the public-facing website display live/approved platform data from the backend, or will it mainly use static/manual summary content?

A6. The public-facing website should primarily display approved and published data from the backend platform, including project summaries, methane reduction metrics, maps, and dashboards. The website should also support static informational content, educational materials, program updates, and other communications managed by NMT.

- Q7. Are uploaded photos, calibration records, and supporting documents expected to be stored inside the platform, and if so, should they be tied to each well/measurement record?
- A7. Yes. The platform should support storage and management of photographs, calibration records, field forms, reports, and other supporting documents. These records should be linked to the applicable well, measurement event, or project record to maintain traceability and support verification activities.
- Q8. Is an audit trail/version history required for data changes?
- A8. Yes. The platform should maintain an audit trail sufficient to support data integrity, verification, and regulatory reporting requirements. At a minimum, the system should record:
- User making the change
  - Date and time of change
  - Previous value and updated value (where practical)
  - Creation, modification, and deletion events

Vendors may propose additional version-control or audit-history capabilities that enhance transparency and system reliability.

ALL OFFERORS ARE REQUIRED TO CONFIRM THE RECEIPT OF THIS AMENDMENT IN THEIR RESPONSE.  
ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE RFP REMAIN UNCHANGED.